Design Manual:

Removal of Arsenic from Drinking Water by Ion Exchange

by

Frederick Rubel, Jr. Rubel Engineering, Inc. Tucson, Arizona 85712

EPA Contract No. 68-C7-0008 Work Assignment No. 4-32 Awarded to Battelle Memorial Institute Columbus, Ohio 43201

Work Assignment Manager

Thomas J. Sorg
Water Supply and Water Resources Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Disclaimer

The information in this document has been funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) under Work Assignment (WA) No. 4-32 of Contract No. 68-C7-0008 to Battelle. It has been subjected to the Agency's peer and administrative reviews and has been approved for publication as an EPA document. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation for use.

Foreword

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research laboratory (NRMRL) is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for preventing and reducing risks from pollution that threaten human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods and their cost-effectiveness for prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources; protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites, sediments and ground water; prevention and control of indoor air pollution; and restoration of ecosystems. NRMRL collaborates with both public and private sector partners to foster technologies that reduce the cost of compliance and to anticipate emerging problems. NRMRL's research provides solutions to environmental problems by: developing and promoting technologies that protect and improve the environment; advancing scientific and engineering information to support regulatory and policy decisions; and providing the technical support and information transfer to ensure implementation of environmental regulations and strategies at the national, state, and community levels.

This publication has been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic long-term research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

Hugh W. McKinnon, Director National Risk Management Research Laboratory

Abstract

This design manual is an in-depth presentation of the steps required to design and operate a water treatment plant for removing arsenic in the As(V) form from drinking water using the anion exchange process. Because As(III) occurs as an uncharged anion in ground water in the pH range of 6.5 to 8, the process will not remove As(III) unless it is first oxidized to As(V). The manual also discusses the capital and operating costs, including many of the variables that can raise or lower costs for identical treatment systems.

The anion exchange treatment process is very reliable, simple, and cost-effective. The treatment process removes arsenic using a strong base anion exchange resin in either the chloride or hydroxide form, with chloride the preferred form because salt can be used as the regenerant. The process preferentially removes sulfate over arsenic; and, therefore, as the sulfate increases in the raw water, the process becomes less efficient and more costly. Furthermore, because sulfate occurs in significantly higher concentrations than arsenic, treatment run lengths are dependent almost entirely on the sulfate concentration of the raw water. The ion exchange process is a proven efficient and cost-effective treatment method for removing As(V) from water supplies with low sulfate levels.

The configuration of an anion exchange system for As(V) removal can take several forms. The method presented in this design manual uses three vertical cylindrical pressure vessels operating in a downflow mode. Two of the three treatment vessels are piped in parallel to form the primary arsenic removal stage. The third treatment vessel is piped in series in the lag position. In the primary stage, raw water flows through one of the two treatment vessels while the second vessel is held in the standby position. When the treatment capacity of the first vessel approaches exhaustion, it is removed from service and replaced by the second primary stage vessel. While out of service, the first vessel is regenerated and placed in the standby position. The role of the third treatment vessel in the lag position is to ensure that any arsenic that breaks (peaking) through one of the lead vessels does not enter the distribution system. Although this design concept results in higher capital costs, it prevents high arsenic concentrations in the treated water, if operated properly.

Contents

Forewor	rd			iii			
Abstrac	:t			iv			
Figures				vii			
Tables .				vii			
Abbrevi	iations	and Acro	onyms	. viii			
Acknow	/ledgm	ents		ix			
1.0	Introduction						
	1.1	Purpose	and Scope	1			
	1.2		und				
	1.3	Arsenic	in Water Supplies	4			
	1.4	Arsenic	Speciation	4			
	1.5	Remova	ıl of Arsenic	4			
2.0	Arsenic Removal by Ion Exchange Treatment						
	2.1	Introduc	tion	5			
	2.2	Ion Exch	nange Process	5			
		2.2.1	Effect of Sulfate on Arsenic Removal	6			
		2.2.2	Effect of Multiple Contaminants	6			
		2.2.3	Low Effluent pH in the Early Stages of a Treatment Cycle	7			
		2.2.4	Spent Brine Reuse				
	2.3	Manual	vs. Automatic Operation	7			
3.0	Design of Central Treatment System						
	3.1	Assemble Design Input Data and Information					
	3.2	Concept	ual Design	11			
		3.2.1	Manual Operation	12			
		3.2.2	Automatic Operation	12			
		3.2.3	Semiautomatic Operation	14			
	3.3	Prelimin	ary Design	15			
		3.3.1	Treatment Equipment Preliminary Design	15			
		3.3.2	Preliminary Treatment Equipment Arrangement	19			
		3.3.3	Preliminary Cost Estimate	19			
		3.3.4	Preliminary Design Revisions	20			
	3.4	Final De	sign				
		3.4.1	Treatment Equipment Final Design	22			
		3.4.2	Final Drawings	24			
		3.4.3	Final Capital Cost Estimate	24			
		3.4.4	Final Design Revisions	24			
4.0	Central Treatment System Capital Cost						
	4.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	4.2	Discussi	ion of Cost Variables				
		4.2.1	Water Chemistry	27			
		4.2.2	Climate				

		4.2.3	Seismic Zone			
		4.2.4	Soil Conditions			
		4.2.5	100-Year Flood Plain			
		4.2.6	Existing and Planned (Future) Potable Water System Parameters			
		4.2.7	Backwash and Regeneration Disposal Concept			
		4.2.8	Chemical Supply Logistics			
		4.2.9	Manual vs. Automatic Operation			
	4.0	4.2.10	Financial Considerations			
- 0	4.3 Relative Capital Cost of Arsenic Removal					
5.0		eatment Plant Operation				
	5.1		tion			
	5.2		eparation			
		5.2.1	Operation Review			
		5.2.2	Resin Loading			
	F 2	5.2.3	Initial Startup Preparation			
	5.3		ent Mode			
	5.4		sh Mode			
	5.5		ration Mode			
	5.6 5.7		Slow and Fast) Mode			
			ration Wastewater			
	5.8 5.9		r Requirements ory Requirements			
	5.10		ng Records			
	5.10	5.10.1	Plant Log			
		5.10.1	Operation Log			
		5.10.2	Water Analysis Reports			
		5.10.4	Plant Operating Cost Records			
		5.10.4	Correspondence Files			
		5.10.6	Regulatory Agency Reports			
		5.10.7	Miscellaneous Forms			
	5.11		ent Plant Maintenance			
	5.12		Equipment Maintenance			
	5.13		nange Resin Maintenance			
	5.14	Treatment Chemical Supply				
6.0			nent Plant Operating Cost			
0.0	6.1		tion			
	6.2		ion of Operating Costs			
		6.2.1	Treatment Chemical Cost			
		6.2.2	Operating Labor Cost			
		6.2.3	Utility Cost			
		6.2.4	Replacement Ion Exchange Resin Cost			
		6.2.5	Replacement Parts and Miscellaneous Material Costs			
	6.3	Operatir	ng Cost Summary			
7.0	Refer	ences				
Append	diy Δ·	Summa	ry of Subsystem Including Components	53		
Append			ent System Design Example			
Append			ons of Estimated Capical Cost Breakdowns for Arsenic Removal	01		
, ,ppoint	O.		reatment Plants by Means of the Ion Exchange Process at			
			and Ideal Locations	65		
Appendix D.		English to Metric Conversion Table				

Figures

Figure 1-1.	Arsenic Breakthrough Results of Full-Scale Arsenic Removal Anion Exchange System	2
Figure 1-2.	Examples of Arsenic Removal Ion Exchange System Designs	
Figure 2-1.	Treatment Runs (Experimental) to Arsenic Breakthrough with	•
ga. 0	Varying Sulfate Concentrations in Raw Water	7
Figure 3-1.	Water Analysis Report	
Figure 3-2.	Ion Exchange Treatment System Flow Diagram	
Figure 3-3.	Treatment Bed and Vessel Design Calculations	
Figure 3-4.	Treatment System Plan	
Figure 3-5.	Treatment Vessels Piping Isometric	
Figure 4-1.	Capital Cost vs. Flowrate at Typical Locaztions for Arsenic Removal	
	Water Treatment Plants by Means of the Ion Exchange Process	31
Figure 4-2.	Capital Cost vs. Flowrate at Ideal Locations for Arsenic Removal	
3	Water Treatment Plants by Means of the Ion Exchange Process	31
Figure 4-3.	Code Pressure Vessel Fabricator Quotation for Ion Exchange	
J	Treatment Vessels	32
Figure 4-4.	Example of SBA Resin Quotation for Arsenic Removal Drinking Water	
Ü	Treatment Systems Provided by Prominent Manufacturer	33
Figure 4-5.	Process Pipe, Fittings, and Valves: Itemized Cost Estimate for a Manually	
Ü	Operated 620-gpm Arsenic Removal Water Treatment System	34
Figure 5-1.	Valve Number Diagram	
Figure 5-2.	Basic Operating Mode Flow Schematics	
Figure 5-3.	Resin Removal Capacity Based on Sulfate Concentration and Resin Capacity	40
Figure 5-4.	Arsenic Removal Water Treatment Plant Operation Log	45
	Tables	
Table 3-1.	Preliminary Capital Cost Estimate Examples for Two Types of Ion Exchange Arsenic Removal Water Treatment Plants at a Typical Location	20
Table 3-2.	Final Capital Cost Estimate Examples for Two Types of Ion Exchange Arsenic	
	Removal Wate Tratment Plants at a Typical Location	21
Table 4-1.	Final Capital Cost Estimate Examples for Two Types of Ion Exchange Arsenic	
	Removal Water Treatment Plants at an Ideal Location	27
Table 5-1.	Valve Operation Chart for Treatment Vessels in Treatment and Regeneration	
-	Operational Modes	
Table 5-2.	Typical Manufacturer's Downflow Pressure Drop Data	
Table 5-3.	Typical Regeneration Process	
Table 6-1.	Operating Cost Tabulation	bU

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ANSI American National Standards Institute **APHA** American Public Health Association

ASME American Society of Mechanical Engineers

AWWA American Water Works Association

BAT best available technology

BV bed volume(s)

CPVC chlorinated polyvinyl chloride

EBCT empty bed contact time

EPDM ethylene propylene diene monomer **Environmental Technology Verification** ETV

FRP fiberglass reinforced polyester

GFAA graphite furnace atomic absorption **GHAA** gaseous hydroxide atomic absorption

gallons per day gpd gallons per minute gpm

ICP-MS inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry

MCL maximum contaminant level

N/A not applicable

NPT National Pipe Thread NSF International NSF

M&O operations and maintenance

OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PLPublic Law

SBA

PLC programmable logic controller pounds per square inch psi pounds per square inch gage psig

PVC polyvinyl chloride

strong base anion Safe Drinking Water Act (of 1974) SDWA stabilized temperature platform STP

TCLP Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure

total dissolved solids **TDS**

U.S. EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

WEF Water Environment Federation

Acknowledgments

This manual was written by Frederick Rubel, Jr., Rubel Engineering, Inc., with input from Thomas Sorg, United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). The manual was reviewed by the following people, and their suggestions and comments were of valuable assistance in preparing the final document:

Dr. Dennis Clifford, University of Houston, Houston, TX

Dr. Abraham Chen, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH

Mr. Jeff Kempic, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC

Mr. Rajiv Khera, U.S. EPA, Washington, DC

Dr. Jerry Lowry, Lowry Environmental Engineering, Blue, ME

Mr. Bernie Lucey, State of New Hampshire, Concord, NH

Mr. Michael McMullin, ADI, Fredericton, NB, Canada

Mr. Edward Robakowski, Kinetico, Inc., Newberry, OH

Mr. Thomas Sorg, U.S. EPA, Cincinnati, OH

Ms. Lili Wang, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH